

## Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 STATE 204124  
ORIGIN SSM-05

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 ONY-00 /006 R

DRAFTED BY SSM/C:B S KNAUF:MA  
APPROVED BY SSM:C W KONTOS  
SSM/C:L G PENDLETON, JR.  
SSM/E:J WALLEN  
SSM/P:P AND O:P E BROWNBAC  
SSM:J T MCANDREW  
DESIRED DISTRIBUTION  
SSM ONLY

-----062336 120001Z /12

P 112221Z AUG 78  
FM SECSTATE WASHDC  
TO USMISSION SINAI PRIORITY

C O N F I D E N T I A L STATE 204124

FOR HUNT ONLY

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: ASEC, OGEN

SUBJECT: SECURITY PLANNING

REF: SINAI 1674

1. THE SSM STAFF HAS NOW CONSIDERED THE INDIVIDUAL RECOM-  
MENDATIONS MADE BY SPENCER BROWN IN THE LIGHT OF YOUR  
VIEWS CONTAINED IN REFTEL. WE AGREE WITH YOU THAT IMPLE-  
MENTATION OF MANY OF THE ITEMS SHOULD AWAIT THE ASSIGNMENT  
OF AN RSO TO SFM. WE ALSO RECOGNIZE THAT THE FINAL CON-  
TENT OF THE CONCEPT PAPER NOW BEING DRAFTED BY BROWN MAY  
RESULT IN SOME REVISION OF INDIVIDUAL RECOMMENDATIONS.  
NOTWITHSTANDING, WE BELIEVE IT IS APPROPRIATE TO INITIATE  
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 STATE 204124

JOINT CONSIDERATION OF THE RECOMMENDATIONS. THE FOLLOWING  
PARAS OFFER OUR PRELIMINARY THOUGHTS. WE WELCOME YOUR  
OBSERVATIONS.

2. WITH REGARD TO THE MAJOR SECURITY-RELATED QUESTIONS  
WHICH ARE STILL OUTSTANDING THE STATUS IS AS FOLLOWS:

A. TSO/RSO: OUR FORMAL REQUEST FOR THE ASSIGNMENT OF A PERSON WITH THE APPROPRIATE QUALIFICATIONS IS WITH VERNE ST MARS IN SY. WE WILL BE FOLLOWING UP AGAIN NEXT WEEK.

B. AMMUNITION: SY HAS OBTAINED THE REQUESTED QUANTITIES AND PLANS TO SHIP TO SFM BY WAY OF TEL AVIV ON THE REGULARLY SCHEDULED MILITARY TRANSPORT FLIGHT. YOU WILL BE NOTIFIED OF ARRIVAL DATA WHEN KNOWN. THERE MAY BE SOME DELAY IN SCHEDULING SHIPMENT, BUT WE HAVE BEEN ASSURED THAT IT WILL BE RECEIVED AT SFM BEFORE YOUR CURRENT SUPPLY GETS TOO LOW.

C. GUARDS: WE HAVE ASKED ESY FOR ITS POSITION ON THE NUMBER OF PEOPLE NECESSARY TO DO THE TASKS ENVISIONED BY BROWN. WE UNDERSTAND THAT KAPPLE'S ESTIMATE AND RATIONALE IS IN TRANSIT TO GREENVILLE. THE FACT THAT THE GUARDS ARE ALSO CHARGED WITH THE SFM FIRE PREVENTION/ PROTECTION RESPONSIBILITY FURTHER COMPLICATES THIS QUESTION. ESY HAS NOW SUBMITTED ITS STUDY AND RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE LATTER SUBJECT TO SSM (WE UNDERSTAND THAT KAPPLE WILL BE RECEIVING A COPY TO DISCUSS WITH YOU SHORTLY).

D. VOLUNTEER FORCE: WHAT IS YOUR REACTION TO BROWN'S RECOMMENDATION THAT SUCH A GROUP BE ESTABLISHED TO ASSIST IN THE DEFENSE OF THE SFM WHEN NECESSARY? IF THE  
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 STATE 204124

BASE DOES COME UNDER ATTACK THE LIKELIHOOD OF A FIRE (FROM INCENDIARIES) IS HIGH, HENCE A VOLUNTEER FORCE SHOULD BE ENVISAGED WITH SOME FIRE FIGHTING ROLE IN MIND.

3. IN ADDITION TO THE ITEMS THAT YOU INDICATE SHOULD AWAIT THE ARRIVAL OF AN RSO, WE WOULD SUGGEST THE ADDITION OF RECOMMENDATIONS 1, 2, 3 AND 4, AT LEAST WITH RESPECT TO THE DETAILS OF THEIR IMPLEMENTATION. THE GUARD ORDERS (NO. 2) MUST ALSO AWAIT BROWN'S CONCEPT PAPER.

4. WE STRONGLY SUPPORT BROWN'S RECOMMENDATION THAT THE CALTROPS BE DISPENSED BETWEEN THE ROWS OF CONCERTINA WIRE AROUND THE BASE CAMP. THIS IS THE ONLY PART OF THE PERIMETER SYSTEM WHICH IS INTENDED TO APPRECIABLY DELAY AN INTRUDER'S PROGRESS TOWARD THE CHAIN LINK FENCE AROUND THE BASE. THE DECISION TO USE THE CALTROPS AROUND THE WATCH STATIONS CAN BE DEFERRED UNTIL THE RSO ARRIVES AS YOU SUGGEST.

5. WE ARE LOOKING AT WAYS OF INCREASING THE EFFECTIVENESS OF THE SYSTEMS WHICH ARE PART OF THE PERIMETER SECURITY

SYSTEM AND WE WILL KEEP YOU INFORMED OF OUR PROGRESS.

6. THE FOLLOWING OBSERVATIONS ON CERTAIN OF BROWN'S RECOMMENDATIONS ARE OFFERED FOR YOUR CONSIDERATION:

A. RECOMMENDATION 8: OUR RECORDS INDICATE THAT SUFFICIENT NUMBERS OF BOTH STARLIGHT SCOPES AND BINOCULARS ARE AVAILABLE THERE TO ALLOCATE TWO OF EACH FOR THE GUARD OBSERVATION POSTS. ONCE THE RSO HAS DERIVED SPECIFIC REQUIREMENTS FOR NIGHT OBSERVATION WE WILL REVIEW THE ADEQUACY OF THE EQUIPMENT PRESENTLY AVAILABLE AT SFM.

B. RECOMMENDATION 9: PROCUREMENT OF THE INTERCOM SYSTEM  
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 04 STATE 204124

MIGHT BETTER BE DELAYED UNTIL THE RSO CAN BE CONSULTED ON ANY OTHER LOCATIONS THAT THE GUARDS SHOULD BE ABLE TO COMMUNICATE WITH, E. G., COMOPS, GHANBATT OPS.

C. RECOMMENDATION 17: WE AGREE WITH BROWN'S RECOMMENDATION.

D. RECOMMENDATION 18: THE USE OF POINT SENSORS IN THE WADI WOULD NOT BE PARTICULARLY EFFECTIVE BECAUSE OF THE ROCK. WE ARE LOOKING AT ALTERNATIVE SOLUTIONS.

E. RECOMMENDATION 22: THE METHOD FOR PROVIDING BASE-WIDE WARNING SUGGESTED BY BROWN IS IMPRACTICAL. A MORE ACCEPTABLE MEANS SHOULD BE DEvised BY E-SYSTEMS TO MEET THE BASE ALERT REQUIREMENT.

F. RECOMMENDATION 24: WE AGREE WITH YOUR ALTERNATE TO THE SANDBAGS. THE SAME APPROACH MIGHT ALSO BE USED ELSEWHERE.

G. RECOMMENDATIONS 25, 26, 27 AND 28: WE SHARE YOUR VIEWS ON THESE ITEMS AND WILL AWAIT YOUR FURTHER THOUGHTS.

H. RECOMMENDATIONS 30, 34 AND 38: IT MIGHT ALSO BE WORTHWHILE TO REPLACE THE UPPER PANE OF GLASS TO PROTECT THE OCCUPANTS AGAINST AN EXPLOSIVE DEVICE, E. G., GRENADE.

I. RECOMMENDATION 43: WE ARE UNCLEAR OF THE INTENDED USE FOR THESE BUNKERS AS WELL AS WHAT TYPE OF CONSTRUCTION WAS ANTICIPATED. THE UTILITY OF BUNKERS LOCATED OUTSIDE THE FENCE SEEMS QUESTIONABLE.  
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 05 STATE 204124

J. RECOMMENDATION 44: WE THINK THAT A COMBINATION OF BOTH POINT AND LINE SENSORS WOULD BE APPROPRIATE FOR THIS PURPOSE. IN ANY EVENT, THE SENSORS WOULD NEED TO BE LOCATED MORE THAN 100 METERS OUT BECAUSE THE GENERATORS WOULD PROBABLY SET OFF A SEISMIC SENSOR AT THAT DISTANCE AND BECAUSE SENSORS THAT CLOSE TO THE FACILITY WOULD NOT

PROVIDE APPRECIABLE WARNING TIME TO THE OPERATORS. CHRISTOPHER

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptioning:** Z  
**Capture Date:** 01 jan 1994  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** n/a  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Draft Date:** 11 aug 1978  
**Decaption Date:** 20 Mar 2014  
**Decaption Note:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Action:** RELEASED  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Date:** 20 Mar 2014  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1978STATE204124  
**Document Source:** CORE  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** B S KNAUF:MA  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** GS  
**Errors:** N/A  
**Expiration:**  
**Film Number:** D780329-0827  
**Format:** TEL  
**From:** STATE  
**Handling Restrictions:**  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1978/newtext/t19780872/aaaacibq.tel  
**Line Count:** 186  
**Litigation Code IDs:**  
**Litigation Codes:**  
**Litigation History:**  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM  
**Message ID:** 29d58264-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc  
**Office:** ORIGIN SSM  
**Original Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** ONLY  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 4  
**Previous Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Previous Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** ONLY  
**Reference:** 78 SINAI 1674  
**Retention:** 0  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 01 aug 2005  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**SAS ID:** 1763399  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** NATIVE  
**Subject:** SECURITY PLANNING  
**TAGS:** ASEC, OGEN  
**To:** SINAI  
**Type:** TE  
**vdkgvwkey:** odb://SAS/SAS.dbo.SAS\_Docs/29d58264-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc  
**Review Markings:**  
Sheryl P. Walter  
Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
20 Mar 2014  
**Markings:** Sheryl P. Walter Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 20 Mar 2014